

**From:** "McRoberts, Sharon B" <SMcRoberts@wm.com>  
**To:** "Dale Stultz (E-mail)" <dstultz@waterboards.ca.gov>  
**Date:** 9/11/2008 4:27 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Winnemucca Trading Analysis

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Sook, Jim  
> Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2008 12:12 PM  
> To: Hirsch, Jason; McRoberts, Sharon B  
> Cc: Navarro, Elizabeth  
> Subject: RE: Winnemucca Trading Analysis

> 2,3,7,8-TCDD is Cal haz at 10 ppb. Do they have material that is < 10 ppb?

> If there is material that is < 10 ppb TCDD then it would require water board approval. If the water board approved of the waste then it will be a MA call as to whether they are comfortable accepting low level dioxins/furans. This could be a political issue that is not worth fighting.

> Jim Sook, CHMM

> Waste Management, Inc.  
> EP Manager - Waste Approvals  
> 4333 E. Jefferson Ave.  
> Fresno, CA 93725  
> 559-834-9151, ext. 236

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Hirsch, Jason  
> Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2008 10:55 AM  
> To: McRoberts, Sharon B  
> Cc: Navarro, Elizabeth; Sook, Jim  
> Subject: RE: Winnemucca Trading Analysis

> I have finished a review of the analytical data that you provided. The results for Nickel were above the level for Anderson and will require STLC analysis. Some of the common solvents including Acetone, Benzene and Pentachlorophenol are greater than ND. The criteria for Anderson is ND. There are many instances of Dioxins and Furans. The most troubling level I saw was 68.2 ppm for 2,3,7,8 TCDD.

> The other issue is the cleanup order and fines that are associated with the site. They have had trouble with classifying waste and removing it from the site. It is important that we not take anything from the site that will be a liability later.

> I think it would be best to take this profile to the water board and see if they are OK with the material going to Anderson. I do not know if the generator can be trusted to properly classify their waste. Letting the water board know that the material is going to Anderson is better than having them find out after the material arrives. The Dioxin and Furan levels will need their OK as well. I doubt that the board will have an issue with the other organics or Nickel. I am not certain how they will feel about everything else.

> Jim - Do we need to have someone in Sacramento or Houston look at this waste stream or is it OK as long as the water board is approving disposal?

> Let me know if you will need anything else.

> -----Original Message-----

> From: McRoberts, Sharon B  
> Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2008 9:10 AM

> To: Navarro, Elizabeth  
> Cc: Hirsch, Jason  
> Subject: Winnemucca Trading Analysis  
>

> << File: WinnemuccaTrading.Analysis.1of2.9.4.08.pdf >> << File:  
WinnemuccaTrading.Analysis.2of2.9.4.08.pdf >>  
>

> Anderson Landfill, Inc.  
> Waste Management  
> 18703 Cambridge Rd.  
> Anderson, CA 96007  
> 530-347-5236 ext. 106  
>